for MAXIMIZING the chances of your Grant application

Just Good Advice

- **Relate all sections to the hypotheses.** Unifying your application behind your hypotheses gives it a boost.
- Do not assume the reviewer is an expert in a given area. They are guaranteed to be an excellent scientist but not guaranteed to be from your specific subject matter.
- Do not assume the reviewer knows your expertise and experience. Spell it out in each application.

Section by Section Keys and Pitfalls

Section

Project Summary: Research Goals, Objectives, and Hypothesis



- This summary is your first impression, and it is considered one of the **most important** sections.
- This **summary** gives the overview of all sections of the grant that are subsequently detailed throughout the application.
- Focus on your **hypotheses**. They unify your application, so craft them carefully. Make them clear in your answer.
- Include statement of the problem and rationale for hypotheses.
- Make sure it's clear how your objectives and general approach work to test your hypotheses.



- Missing parts of summary
- Unclear Logic
- Non-testable hypotheses

for MAXIMIZING the chances of your Grant application

Section by Section Keys and Pitfalls

Pitfalls Keys Section Impact on Advancing Anti-Doping Demonstrate your Inadequate literature review Efforts understanding of the field. Logic unclear or unconvincing Relevance to anti-doping unclear • State the problem for the field Not exportable to other labs that your project addresses and provide rationale for your specific project. Lay out what we know and don't know about the subject with descriptive details. • Give background to form a logical argument for hypotheses. • Show significance of your project for anti-doping, illustrating exportability to other anti-doping labs, innovation or novelty. Preliminary Data or Progress Since

Initial Funding

- Use this section to strengthen the **rationale** for proposed work.
- Provide **evidence** of feasibility and potential success.
- If no preliminary data, consider a pilot grant application
- Inadequately described preliminary studies, results and interpretation.
- Unreadable tables and figures

for MAXIMIZING the chances of your Grant application

Section by Section Keys and Pitfalls

Keys

Section

Experimental design, methods, and data analysis

- Make sure your **experimental design** provides a **detailed description** that includes relevant controls or comparators as well as replicates.
- Give a thorough account of the methods for the project.
- Don't forget an in-depth explanation of your analysis plan, including any power analysis or justification of replicates.
- **Include** expected results, interpretation of expected results, description of potential pitfalls and limitations, contingencies if needed and timeline with deliverables.
- Lay out a clear description of participants, recruitment, experimental protocol, efficacy/safety assessment, and handling of discontinuation/adverse effects.

Pitfalls

- Lack of details
- Lack of power calculations and sample size estimates
- No description of interpretation of results, potential pitfalls and limitations or contingencies.
- Will it ever be used in anti-doping labs?
- Inadequate description of study participants, participant recruitment and clinical protocol.

for MAXIMIZING the chances of your Grant application

Section by Section Keys and Pitfalls

